	Case 1:23-cv-00830-GSA	Document 7	Filed 04/25/25	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	KENNETH MULLEN WATK	INS, JR.,	No. 1:23-cv-0083	0 GSA (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS MATTER SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER (See ECF No. 6) PLAINTIFF'S SHOWING OF CAUSE OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF CURRENT ADDRESS DUE IN		
13	v.				
14	PERNER, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17			SEVEN DAYS		
18 19	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil				
20	rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States				
21	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
22	On March 12, 2025, the Court issued a minute order which directed Plaintiff to file a				
23	notice of current address and to do so within seven days. See ECF No. 6. To date, Plaintiff has				
24	not complied with the Court's order.				
25	For the reasons stated below, Plaintiff will be ordered to show cause why this matter				
26	should not be dismissed for failure to obey a court order. However, as an alternative to filing the				
27	showing of cause, Plaintiff may file a notice of current address with the Court and inform it				
28	whether he would like to continue to prosecute this case. Plaintiff will be given another seven				
	1				

days to take either course of action.

I. <u>RELEVANT FACTS</u>

On March 12, 2025, because a significant amount of time had passed since Plaintiff had filed his complaint in this Court in May 2023, the Court ordered Plaintiff to file a notice of current address prior to the Court screening his complaint. ECF No. 6. Plaintiff was given seven days to comply with the Court's order. See id.

II. DISCUSSION

More than seven days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's order, nor has he requested an extension of time to do so. <u>See generally</u> Docket. Plaintiff has not responded to the Court's order in any way.

These facts on their face would warrant a recommendation that this matter be dismissed. However, given that the order which directed Plaintiff to file a change of address has not been returned to the Court marked "undeliverable," it could be that Plaintiff simply no longer wishes to prosecute this case. Therefore, prior to recommending the dismissal of this matter, Plaintiff will be ordered to show cause why this matter should not be dismissed for failure to obey a court order. As an alternative to filing a showing of cause, Plaintiff may instead file a notice of current address with the Court. Should Plaintiff choose to file a notice of current address, in that filing he should also advise the Court whether he would like to continue to prosecute this matter or dismiss it voluntarily. Plaintiff will be given seven days to take either course of action.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff is ordered to SHOW CAUSE why this matter should not be DISMISSED for failure to obey a court order;
- 2. As an ALTERNATIVE to filing the showing of cause, Plaintiff may file a notice of current address with the Court;
- 3. If Plaintiff opts to file a notice of current address with the Court, Plaintiff shall also inform the Court whether he would like to continue to prosecute this case, and
 - 4. Plaintiff shall have seven days to take either course of action.

	Case 1:23-cv-00830-GSA Document 7 Filed 04/25/25 Page 3 of 3
1	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated: April 25, 2025 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
1920	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3